

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

adaress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATER
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	and the same of th

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/099,982	03/19/2002	Stephen Randolph Winzer	18180.0115	5514	
75	90 04/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Mark J Itri, Esq.			JUBA JR, JOHN		
McDermott Will & Emery					
18191 Von Karman Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 400			2872		
Irvine, CA 92612			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/099,982	WINZER, STEPHEN	N RANDOLPH			
Advisory Addison	Examiner	Art Unit	,			
	John Juba, Jr.	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 39-43 would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet

Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,8,11-20,29 and 30</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,9,21-28,31 and 32</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>33-37</u>.

8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: A new issue would be raised as to the particular character and operation of the shape retaining elements.

Continuation of 10. Other: Proposed changes to the specification would be acceptable if separately filed.

JOHN JUBA JA